Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—What was the nature of the complaints from Mr. Subramania Ayyar?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—That he should have been confirmed earlier than Mr. Parameswara Menon.

Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—Reason?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Reason is, of course he tried to make out that he was senior to Mr. Parameswara Menon.

Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—Was he not sent out as Magistrate before Mr. Parameswara Menon?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—He became Sub-Magistrate earlier than Mr. Parameswara Menon.

Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—How was his seniority fixed? Is it by service or by drawing more pay?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—The rule is priority in pay. But in this case in point of service also Mr. Parameswara Menon senior to Mr. Subramania Ayyar.

Manappattuchira.

229. Mr. M. A. Chakko.—Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(1) if the article in the 'Gomathi' of the 20th Mithunam 1107 under the heading "മലയാറ്റൂരു മണപ്പാട്ടുചിറ ആയക്കെട്ട് അല്ലെങ്കിൽ ഒരു ഓവുസു ഇൻസ്പെക്ടരുടേയും ഒരു പാട്ടുവിൻറേയും സമർത്ഥം" has come to their notice ;

(2) are the Government aware that there is a great dissatisfaction among the ryots about the method adopted for the distribution of water from the Manapat chira?

Rao Sahib Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—(1) Yes.

(2) No ; the matter is, however, being enquired into.

Mr. M. A. Chakko.—May I know how far the enquiry has progressed and what is the result?

Rao Sahib Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—The enquiry is progressing.

Mr. M. A. Chakko.—May I know the result?

Rao Sahib Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—It has not yet been completed.

230. Mr. M. A. Chakko.—Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(1) what was the total extent of wet land that the Manapat chira was serving to irrigate at the time of the last Revenue Settlement ;

(2) what is the extent that the chira is intended to serve after its repair and reconstruction ;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(3) how did the proposal about the reconstruction originate ;

(4) who made the first representation and when, that the condition of the Manapat chira was not satisfactory and that the same had to be improved ;

(5) was there a complaint at the time that Mr. Kuriyedan Pappu referred to in the article had been blocking up the channel of water leading to Survey No. 238-1 ;

(6) how was the complaint disposed of and what relief was granted to the party that complained for the irrigation of the said bit of land ?

Rao Sahib Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—(1) 327·88 acres.

(2) 447·60 acres.

(3) and (4) The proposal originated from the Malayattur Panchayat. In 1097 that Panchayat represented that if certain improvements were made to the Manapat chira, interests of cultivation would be served better.

(5) Yes.

(6) (a) Mr. Kuriyedan Pappu was ordered to be proceeded against legally ; and

(b) a set of rules were framed for the working of the chira.

Mr. M. A. Chakko.—In sub-division 6 (a) it is said that 'Mr. Kuriyedan Pappu was ordered to be proceeded against legally.' What is the matter for which he had to be proceeded against?

Rao Sahib Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—Trespass, to the best of my recollection, took place some years ago.

Mr. M. A. Chakko.—I suppose it is trespass into the irrigation canal.

Rao Sahib Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—I believe so.

Mr. M. A. Chakko.—Sub-division (1) and (2) 327·88 acres and 447.60 acres. Does not the enhanced area include land belonging to Kuriyedan Pappu which were not supplied with water before?

Rao Sahib Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—I want notice. I have no definite information.

231. Mr. M. A. Chakko.—Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(i) if Survey No. 23/1 has been depending upon the Manapat chira from olden times for irrigation ;

(ii) does it come under the category (a), (b), (c) or (d) of the several classes mentioned in rule 1 of the Gazette notification, dated 1st May 1926 (15th Medam 1101) ;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly